

<b>25 August 2016</b>		<b>ITEM: 6</b>
<b>Planning Committee</b>		
<b>Planning Appeals</b>		
<b>Wards and communities affected:</b> All	<b>Key Decision:</b> Not Applicable	
<b>Report of:</b> Leigh Nicholson, Development Management Team Leader		
<b>Accountable Head of Service:</b> Andy Millard, Head of Planning and Growth		
<b>Accountable Director:</b> Steve Cox, Director of Environment and Place		

## **Executive Summary**

This report provides Members with information with regard to planning appeal performance.

### **1. Recommendation(s)**

1.1 To note the report

### **2.0 Introduction and Background**

2.1 This report advises the Committee of the number of appeals that have been lodged and the number of decisions that have been received in respect of planning appeals, together with dates of forthcoming inquiries and hearings.

### **3.0 Appeals Lodged:**

3.1 Application No: 15/00093/CWKS

Location: Woodside, Kirkham Road, Horndon On The Hill

Proposal: Dwelling has doubled in size without the benefit of planning permission

### **4.0 Appeals Decisions:**

4.1 The following appeal decisions have been received: None.

## 5.0 Forthcoming public inquiry and hearing dates:

5.1 The following inquiry and hearing dates have been arranged: None.

## 6.0 APPEAL PERFORMANCE:

6.1 The following table shows appeal performance in relation to decisions on planning applications and enforcement appeals.

	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	
Total No of Appeals	5	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
No Allowed	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
% Allowed													18%

## 7.0 Consultation (including overview and scrutiny, if applicable)

7.1 N/A

## 8.0 Impact on corporate policies, priorities, performance and community impact

8.1 This report is for information only.

## 9.0 Implications

### 9.1 Financial

Implications verified by: **Sean Clark**  
**Head of Corporate Finance**

There are no direct financial implications to this report.

### 9.2 Legal

Implications verified by: **Vivien Williams**  
**Principal Regeneration Solicitor**

The Appeals lodged will either have to be dealt with by written representation procedure or (an informal) hearing or a local inquiry.

Most often, particularly following an inquiry, the parties involved will seek to recover from the other side their costs incurred in pursuing the appeal (known as 'an order as to costs' or 'award of costs').

### 9.3 **Diversity and Equality**

Implications verified by: **Rebecca Price**  
**Community Development Officer**

There are no direct diversity implications to this report.

### 9.4 **Other implications** (where significant) – i.e. Staff, Health, Sustainability, Crime and Disorder)

None.

### 10. **Background papers used in preparing the report** (including their location on the Council's website or identification whether any are exempt or protected by copyright):

- All background documents including application forms, drawings and other supporting documentation can be viewed online: [www.thurrock.gov.uk/planning](http://www.thurrock.gov.uk/planning). The planning enforcement files are not public documents and should not be disclosed to the public.

### 11. **Appendices to the report**

- None

#### **Report Author:**

Leigh Nicholson

Development Management Team Leader